

PART B – Please complete a separate Part B form for each part of the document you wish to comment on	
Name <i>(to ensure the comments are correctly recorded from each form)</i>	Lynda Moore CPRE Oxfordshire

1. To which document does this representation relate?

Proposed Submission Plan	YES / NO (please delete)
Policies Map within the Plan	YES / NO (please delete)
Sustainability Appraisal Report	YES / NO (please delete)
Other Document <i>(please specify)</i>	

2. To which part of the document does this representation relate?

Paragraph <i>(please specify)</i>	
Policy <i>(please specify)</i>	
Table <i>(please specify)</i>	
Appendix <i>(please specify)</i>	Banbury – Williamscot and Chacombe
Other reference in document <i>(please specify)</i>	

3. Do you consider the Proposed Submission Plan to be:

Legally & Procedurally Compliant?	YES / NO (please delete)
Compliant with the Duty to Cooperate?	YES / NO (please delete)
<i>The 'tests' of Soundness:</i>	
Positively Prepared	YES / NO (please delete)
Justified	YES / NO (please delete)
Effective	YES / NO (please delete)
Consistent with National Policy	YES / NO (please delete)

4. Please provide the reasons if you have selected 'NO' to any part of Question 3 and consider the Plan to be non-compliant and/or unsound. Please make reference to the part of the document you have identified. Alternatively, please explain why you consider the Plan to be compliant and/or sound.

Although the gap between Banbury and Chacombe is relatively strong, it would have been stronger before the expansion of Banbury east of the M40. In order to maintain distinction between Banbury and both Chacombe and Williamscot new development should be avoided in line with the Inspector's direction in the preparation of the 2015 Plan and the revised Plan should :

Preclude Banbury encroaching from the valley floor up the eastern side of the Cherwell Valley

- Preclude any sense of Williamscot or Chacombe descending from their contained, upper valley side settings
- Preclude any views of development on the edge of Banbury east of the M40, on approach from the north along the A361 or from Chacombe (Banbury Road).

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

5. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. Please provide any suggested wording or re-wording you consider necessary.

It is recommended that the Guidance wording should be amended as follows:

- Preclude Banbury encroaching from the valley floor up the eastern side of the Cherwell Valley
- Preclude any sense of Williamscot or Chacombe descending from their contained, upper valley side settings
- Preclude any views of development on the edge of Banbury east of the M40, on approach from the north along the A361 or from Chacombe (Banbury Road).

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

6. If you are seeking a change to the Plan, do you wish to express an interest to participate in the Examination?

I wish to participate at the oral examination

YES (please delete)

7. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

CPRE Oxfordshire have within our organisation experts across all fields in countryside protection. We have responded with several Part B forms in this area and consider we are best placed to voice areas of concern in relation to this top consultee priority

(Continue on separate sheet if necessary)

Please note: *the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the Examination.*

PLEASE RETURN THIS FORM BY 11:59pm ON FRIDAY 14 FEBRUARY 2025 BY EMAIL TO:

PlanningPolicyConsultation@cherwell-dc.gov.uk

ALTERNATIVELY PLEASE SEND BY POST TO:

Planning Policy Consultation

Planning Policy Team

Strategic Planning and the Economy

Cherwell District Council

Bodicote House

Bodicote

Banbury

OX15 4AA